FOR RELEASE: 7:30 pm ET, October 22, 2001

## BOWL CHAMPIONSHIP SERIES STANDINGS

(Games Through October 20)

| Rank | Team | Poll | Computer | Schedule | [Quality |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Avg. | Avg. | Rank | $\underline{\text { Losses }}$ | Subtotal | Win] | TOTAL |
| 1. | Oklahoma | 2.0 | 1.50 | 0.56 | 0 | 4.06 | 1.0 | 3.06 |
| 2. | Nebraska | 3.0 | 3.00 | 0.40 | 0 | 6.40 | 0.0 | 6.40 |
| 3. | UCLA | 4.5 | 3.00 | 1.24 | 0 | 8.74 | 0.4 | 8.34 |
| 4. | Miami (FL) | 1.0 | 7.33 | 3.68 | 0 | 12.01 | 0.0 | 12.01 |
| 5. | Virginia Tech | 4.5 | 7.50 | 1.88 | 0 | 13.88 | 0.0 | 13.88 |
| 6. | Texas | 7.0 | 6.67 | 0.68 | 1 | 15.35 | 0.0 | 15.35 |
| 7. | Michigan | 8.0 | 6.83 | 0.08 | 1 | 15.91 | 0.0 | 15.91 |
| 8. | Maryland | 11.0 | 7.17 | 3.12 | 0 | 21.29 | 0.0 | 21.29 |
| 9. | Tennessee | 9.0 | 11.83 | 0.24 | 1 | 22.07 | 0.0 | 22.07 |
| 10. | Washington State | 14.5 | 5.67 | 3.08 | 0 | 23.25 | 0.2 | 23.05 |
| 11. | Florida | 6.0 | 15.00 | 1.48 | 1 | 23.48 | 0.0 | 23.48 |
| 12. | Washington | 12.0 | 13.00 | 1.80 | 1 | 27.80 | 0.9 | 26.90 |
| 13. | Oregon | 12.0 | 14.00 | 1.96 | 1 | 28.96 | 0.0 | 28.96 |
| 14. | Stanford | 21.0 | 8.00 | 0.16 | 1 | 30.16 | 0.3 | 29.86 |
| 15. | South Carolina | 13.0 | 18.83 | 2.48 | 1 | 35.31 | 0.0 | 35.31 |

## EXPLANATION:

Poll Average - Average of USA Today/ESPN Coaches and AP Media Polls. Others receiving votes calculated in order received.
Computer Average - Average of Richard Billingsley, Atlanta Journa-Constitution Colley Matrix, Kenneth Massey, David Rothman, Jeff Sagarin's USA Today, Matthews/Scripps-Howard, Anderson \& Hester, and the Peter Wolfe rankings. The computer component will be determined by averaging six of the rankings, dropping the highest and the lowest.
Schedule Rank - Rank of schedule strength compared to other Division I-A teams of actual games played divided by 25 . This component is calculated by determining the cumulative won/loss records of the team's opponent ( $662 / 3$ percent) and the cumulative won/loss records of the team's opponents' opponents ( $331 / 3$ percent).
Losses - One point for each loss during the season.
Quality Win Component - The quality win component will reward to varying degrees teams that defeat opponents ranked among the top 15 in the weekly standings. The bonus point scale will range from a high of 1.5 points for a win over the top ranked team to a low of 0.1 for a victory over the 15th-ranked BCS team. The final BCS Standings will determine final quality win points. If a team registers a victory over a team more than once during the regular season, quality points will be awarded just once. Quality win points are deducted from the team's score. Notes: The Rose Bowl on January 3, 2002, will host the BCS National Championship Game and determine which team will be presented The National Football Foundation and College Hall of Fame's MacArthur Bowl Trophy and the Sears Trophy.
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| Rank | Team | AP | USA Today/ ESPN | Poll Avg. | Richard Billingsley | Constitution Colley | Kenneth Massey |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Oklahoma | 2 | 2 | 2.0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 2 | Nebraska | 3 | 3 | 3.0 | 2 | 1 | 4 |
| 3. | UCLA | 4 | 5 | 4.5 | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| 4. | Miami (FL) | 1 | 1 | 1.0 | 3 | 13 | 11 |
| 5. | Virginia Tech | 5 | 4 | 4.5 | 6 | 5 | 17 |
| 6. | Texas | 7 | 7 | 7.0 | 8 | 9 | 9 |
| 7. | Michigan | 8 | 8 | 8.0 | 10 | 4 | 5 |
| 8. | Maryland | 10 | 12 | 11.0 | 4 | 7 | 6 |
| 9. | Tennessee | 9 | 9 | 9.0 | 14 | 12 | 14 |
| 10. | Washington St. | 14 | 15 | 14.5 | 9 | 6 | 3 |
| 11. | Florida | 6 | 6 | 6.0 | 13 | 17 | 19 |
| 12. | Washington | 13 | 11 | 12.0 | 7 | 16 | 7 |
| 13. | Oregon | 11 | 13 | 12.0 | 15 | 14 | 15 |
| 14. | Stanford | 20 | 22 | 21.0 | 12 | 8 | 8 |
| 15. | South Carolina | 12 | 14 | 13.0 | 21 | 18 | 23 |


| David | Jeff | Scripps- | Anderson | Peter | Computer | Schedule | Schedul |  | [Quality |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rothman | Sagarin | Howard | \& Hester | Wolfe | Avg. | Strength | Rank | Losses | Subtotal | Win] | TOTAL |
| 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1.50 | 14 | 0.56 | 0 | 4.06 | 1.0 | 3.06 |
| 5 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3.00 | 10 | 0.40 | 0 | 6.40 | 0.0 | 6.40 |
| 7 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3.00 | 31 | 1.24 | 0 | 8.74 | 0.4 | 8.34 |
| 3 | 4 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 7.33 | 92 | 3.68 | 0 | 12.01 | 0.0 | 12.01 |
| 2 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 7.50 | 47 | 1.88 | 0 | 13.88 | 0.0 | 13.88 |
| 4 | 2 | 5 | 13 | 5 | 6.67 | 17 | 0.68 | 1 | 15.35 | 0.0 | 15.35 |
| 10 | 9 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 6.83 | 2 | 0.08 | 1 | 15.91 | 0.0 | 15.91 |
| 8 | 11 | 14 | 5 | 6 | 7.17 | 78 | 3.12 | 0 | 21.29 | 0.0 | 21.29 |
| 11 | 12 | 10 | 9 | 12 | 11.83 | 6 | 0.24 | 1 | 22.07 | 0.0 | 22.07 |
| 6 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 5.67 | 77 | 3.08 | 0 | 23.25 | 0.2 | 23.05 |
| 12 | 7 | 12 | 20 | 17 | 15.00 | 37 | 1.48 | 1 | 23.48 | 0.0 | 23.48 |
| 14 | 23 | 15 | 15 | 11 | 13.00 | 45 | 1.80 | 1 | 27.80 | 0.9 | 26.90 |
| 16 | 14 | 11 | 11 | 15 | 14.00 | 49 | 1.96 | 1 | 28.96 | 0.0 | 28.96 |
| 9 | 8 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 8.00 | 4 | 0.16 | 1 | 30.16 | 0.3 | 29.86 |
| 19 | 20 | 17 | 14 | 18 | 18.83 | 62 | 2.48 | 1 | 35.31 | 0.0 | 35.31 |

## EXPLANATION:

Poll Average - Average of the AP Media Poll and USA Today/ESPN Coaches Poll. Others receiving votes calculated in order received.
Computer Average - Average of Richard Billingsley, Atlanta Journal-Constitution Colley Matrix, Kenneth Massey, David Rothman, Jeff Sagarin’s USA Today, Matthews/ScrippsHoward, Anderson \& Hester, and the Peter Wolfe rankings. The computer component will be determined by averaging six rankings. The highest and the lowest will be disregarded.
Schedule Rank - Rank of schedule strength compared to other Division I-A teams of actual games played divided by 25. This component is calculated by determining the cumulative won/loss records of the team's opponent ( $662 / 3$ percent) and the cumulative won/loss records of the team's opponents' opponents ( $331 / 3$ percent).
Losses - One point for each loss during the season.
Quality Win Component - The quality win component will reward to varying degrees teams that defeat opponents ranked among the top 15 in the weekly standings. The bonus point scale will range from a high of 1.5 points for a win over the top ranked team to a low of 0.1 for a victory over the 15 th-ranked BCS team. The final BCS Standings will determine final quality win points. If a team registers a victory over a team more than once during the regular season, quality points will be awarded just once. Quality win points are deducted from the team's score
Notes: The Rose Bowl on January 3, 2002, will host the BCS National Championship Game and determine which team will be presented The National Football Foundation and College Hall of Fame's MacArthur Bowl Trophy and the Sears Trophy.

